

STRUCTURE OF SEMISIMPLE HOPF ALGEBRAS OF DIMENSION p^2q^2

JINGCHENG DONG

ABSTRACT. Let p, q be prime numbers with $p^4 < q$, and k an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. We show that semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^2q^2 can be constructed either from group algebras and their duals by means of extensions, or from Radford biproduct $R\#kG$, where kG is the group algebra of group G of order p^2 , R is a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^k_G\mathcal{YD}$ of dimension q^2 . As an application, the special case that the structure of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension $4q^2$ is given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we will work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0.

The problem of classifying all Hopf algebras of dimension d , where d factorizes in a simple way, attracts many mathematicians' interest. It is also a question posed by Andruskiewitsch [1, Question 6.2]. As a pioneer, Zhu [22] proved that a Hopf algebra of prime dimension over k is a group algebra. Several years later, a series of papers [2, 5, 9, 10] proved that semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^2 or pq over k are trivial, where p, q are distinct prime numbers. That is, they are isomorphic to a group algebra or to a dual group algebra. Quite recently, Etingof et al [3] completed the classification of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension pq^2 and pqr , where p, q, r are distinct prime numbers. The results in [3] showed that all these Hopf algebras can be constructed from group algebras and their duals by means of extensions.

In this paper, we study the structure of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^2q^2 , where p, q are prime numbers with $p^4 < q$. As an application, we also study the structure of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension $4q^2$, where q is a prime number.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions and basic properties of semisolvability, characters and Radford's biproducts, respectively.

In Section 3, we study the structure of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^2q^2 , where p, q are prime numbers with $p^4 < q$. By checking the order of $G(H^*)$, we prove that if $|G(H^*)| = p, pq, q^2$ or pq^2 then H is not simple as a Hopf algebra and is semisolvable, in the sense of [12]; if $|G(H^*)| = p^2$ or p^2q then H is either semisolvable or isomorphic to a Radford's biproduct $R\#kG$, where kG is the group algebra of group G of order p^2 , R is a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^k_G\mathcal{YD}$ of dimension q^2 . The possibility that $|G(H^*)| = 1$ and q can be discarded.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

Key words and phrases. semisimple Hopf algebra, semisolvability, character, biproduct.

In particular, we prove that if p does not divide $q-1$ and $q+1$, then H is necessarily semisolvable.

In Section 4, we study the structure of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension $4q^2$, where q is a prime number. In view of the results in Section 3, we discuss the cases that $q = 3, 5, 7, 11$ and 13 .

Throughout this paper, all modules and comodules are left modules and left comodules, and moreover they are finite-dimensional over k . \otimes, \dim mean \otimes_k, \dim_k , respectively. For two positive integers m and n , $\gcd(m, n)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of m, n . Our references for the theory of Hopf algebras are [13] or [21]. The notation for Hopf algebras is standard. For example, the group of group-like elements in H is denoted by $G(H)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Semisolvability. Let H be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over k . A Hopf subalgebra $A \subseteq H$ is called normal if $h_1 A S(h_2) \subseteq A$ and $S(h_1) A h_2 \subseteq A$, for all $h \in H$. If H does not contain proper normal Hopf subalgebras then it is called simple. The notion of simplicity is self-dual, that is, H is simple if and only if H^* is simple.

Let $q : H \rightarrow B$ be a Hopf algebra map and consider the subspaces of coinvariants

$$H^{coq} = \{h \in H \mid (id \otimes q)\Delta(h) = h \otimes 1\}, \text{ and}$$

$${}^{coq}H = \{h \in H \mid (q \otimes id)\Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h\}.$$

Then H^{coq} (respectively, ${}^{coq}H$) is a left (respectively, right) coideal subalgebra of H . Moreover, we have

$$\dim H = \dim H^{coq} \dim q(H) = \dim {}^{coq}H \dim q(H).$$

The left coideal subalgebra H^{coq} is stable under the left adjoint action of H . Moreover $H^{coq} = {}^{coq}H$ if and only if H^{coq} is a (normal) Hopf subalgebra of H . If this is the case, we shall say that the map $q : H \rightarrow B$ is normal. See [20] for more details.

The following lemma comes from [15, Section 1.3].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $q : H \rightarrow B$ be a Hopf epimorphism and A a Hopf subalgebra of H such that $A \subseteq H^{coq}$. Then $\dim A$ divides $\dim H^{coq}$.*

The notions of upper and lower semisolvability for finite-dimensional Hopf algebras have been introduced in [12], as generalizations of the notion of solvability for finite groups. By definition, H is called lower semisolvable if there exists a chain of Hopf subalgebras

$$H_{n+1} = k \subseteq H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq H_1 = H$$

such that H_{i+1} is a normal Hopf subalgebra of H_i , for all i , and all quotients $H_i/H_i H_{i+1}^+$ are trivial. Dually, H is called upper semisolvable if there exists a chain of quotient Hopf algebras

$$H_{(0)} = H \xrightarrow{\pi_1} H_{(1)} \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_n} H_{(n)} = k$$

such that each of the maps $H_{(i-1)} \xrightarrow{\pi_i} H_{(i)}$ is normal, and all $H_{(i-1)}^{co\pi_i}$ are trivial.

By [12, Corollary 3.3], we have that H is upper semisolvable if and only if H^* is lower semisolvable. If this is the case, then H can be obtained from group algebras

and their duals by means of (a finite number of) extensions. For the definition of the extension of Hopf algebras, the reader is directed to [11, Definition 1.3].

Recall that a semisimple Hopf algebra H is called of Frobenius type if the dimensions of the simple H -modules divide the dimension of H . Kaplansky conjectured that every finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra is of Frobenius type [6, Appendix 2]. It is still an open problem. Recently, many examples show that a positive answer to Kaplansky's conjecture would be very helpful in the classification problem. For example, in case that $\dim H$ is a product of two distinct prime numbers, Gelaki and Westreich [5] proved that if H and H^* are of Frobenius type then H is trivial.

The following result is not explicitly stated in [3]. We give a proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension $p^m q^n$, where p, q are distinct prime numbers and m, n are non-negative integer. Then H is of Frobenius type and H has a non-trivial 1-dimensional representation.*

The proof of Lemma 2.2 involves some definitions and properties from fusion categories. We refer the reader to [3] and references therein for basic results on fusion category.

Proof. Let $\text{Rep}(H)$ be the category of representations of H . By [3, Theorem 1.6], $\text{Rep}(H)$ is a solvable fusion category. Comparing [3, Definition 1.1] with [3, Definition 1.2], we find out that $\text{Rep}(H)$ is also weakly group-theoretical. The first statement then follows from [3, Theorem 1.5]. The second statement directly follows from [3, Proposition 9.9]. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension $p^2 q^2$, where $p < q$ are prime numbers. If H has a Hopf subalgebra K of dimension pq^2 then H is lower semisolvable.*

Proof. Since the index of K in H is p which is the smallest prime number dividing $\dim H$, the result in [7] shows that K is a normal Hopf algebra of H . Since the dimension of the quotient H/HK^+ is p , the result in [22] shows that it is trivial.

Since K^* is also a semisimple Hopf algebra (see [8]), Lemma 2.2 and [14, Theorem 5.4.1] show that K has a proper normal Hopf subalgebra L of dimension p, q, pq or q^2 . The results in [2, 5, 9, 10] (mentioned in Section 1) show that L and K/KL^+ are both trivial. Hence, we have a chain of Hopf subalgebras $k \subseteq L \subseteq K \subseteq H$, which satisfies the definition of lower semisolvability. \square

2.2. Characters. Throughout this section, H will be a semisimple Hopf algebra over k .

Let V be an H -module. The character of V is the element $\chi = \chi_V \in H^*$ defined by $\langle \chi, h \rangle = \text{Tr}_V(h)$ for all $h \in H$. The degree of χ is defined to be the integer $\deg \chi = \chi(1) = \dim V$. We shall use X_t to denote the set of all irreducible characters of H of degree t . If U is another H -module, we have

$$\chi_{U \otimes V} = \chi_U \chi_V, \quad \chi_{V^*} = S(\chi_V),$$

where S is the antipode of H^* .

Hence, the irreducible characters, namely, the characters of the simple H -modules, span a subalgebra $R(H)$ of H^* , which is called the character algebra of H . By [22,

Lemma 2], $R(H)$ is semisimple. The antipode S induces an anti-algebra involution $*$: $R(H) \rightarrow R(H)$, given by $\chi \rightarrow \chi^* := S(\chi)$. The character of the trivial H -module is the counit ε .

The properties of $R(H)$ have been intensively studied in [17]. We recall some of them here, and will use them freely in this paper. See also [15, Section 1.2].

Let $\chi_U, \chi_V \in R(H)$ be the characters of the H -modules U and V , respectively. The integer $m(\chi_U, \chi_V) = \dim \text{Hom}_H(U, V)$ is defined to be the multiplicity of U in V . This can be extended to a bilinear form $m : R(H) \times R(H) \rightarrow k$.

Let \hat{H} denote the set of irreducible characters of H . Then \hat{H} is a basis of $R(H)$. If $\chi \in R(H)$, we may write $\chi = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{H}} m(\alpha, \chi) \alpha$. Let $\chi, \psi, \omega \in R(H)$. Then $m(\chi, \psi\omega) = m(\psi^*, \omega\chi^*) = m(\psi, \chi\omega^*)$ and $m(\chi, \psi) = m(\chi^*, \psi^*)$. See [17, Theorem 9].

For each group-like element g in $G(H^*)$, we have $m(g, \chi\psi) = 1$, if $\psi = \chi^*g$ and 0 otherwise for all $\chi, \psi \in \hat{H}$. In particular, $m(g, \chi\psi) = 0$ if $\deg(\chi) \neq \deg(\psi)$. Let $\chi \in \hat{H}$. Then for any group-like element g in $G(H^*)$, $m(g, \chi\chi^*) > 0$ if and only if $m(g, \chi\chi^*) = 1$ if and only if $g\chi = \chi$. The set of such group-like elements forms a subgroup of $G(H^*)$, of order at most $(\deg(\chi))^2$. See [17, Theorem 10]. Denote this subgroup by $G[\chi]$. In particular, we have

$$\chi\chi^* = \sum_{g \in G[\chi]} g + \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{H}, \deg \alpha > 1} m(\alpha, \chi\chi^*) \alpha.$$

The following result can be found in [16, Lemma 2.2.2].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\chi \in \hat{H}$ be an irreducible character of H . Then*

- (1) *The order of $G[\chi]$ divides $(\deg \chi)^2$.*
- (2) *The order of $G(H^*)$ divides $n(\deg \chi)^2$, where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple H -modules of dimension $\deg \chi$.*

Let $1 = d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s$ be positive integers, with $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_s$. H is said to be of type $(d_1, n_1; \dots; d_s, n_s)$ as an algebra if d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s are the dimensions of the simple H -modules and n_i is the number of the non-isomorphic simple H -modules of dimension d_i . That is, as an algebra, H is isomorphic to a direct product of full matrix algebras

$$H \cong k^{(n_1)} \times \prod_{i=2}^s M_{d_i}(k)^{(n_i)}.$$

If H^* is of type $(d_1, n_1; \dots; d_s, n_s)$ as an algebra, then H is said to be of type $(d_1, n_1; \dots; d_s, n_s)$ as a coalgebra.

A subalgebra A of $R(H)$ is called a standard subalgebra if A is spanned by irreducible characters of H . Let X be a subset of \hat{H} . Then X spans a standard subalgebra of $R(H)$ if and only if the product of characters in X decomposes as a sum of characters in X . There is a bijection between $*$ -invariant standard subalgebras of $R(H)$ and quotient Hopf algebras of H . See [17, Theorem 6].

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a non-trivial subgroup of $G(H^*)$. If $G[\chi_t] = G$ for every $\chi_t \in X_t$, then $\chi_t \chi_t'$ is not irreducible for all $\chi_t, \chi_t' \in X_t$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of [15, Lemma 2.4.1]. □

2.3. Radford's biproduct. In what follows, we briefly summarize results from [19]. Let A be a semisimple Hopf algebra and let ${}^A\mathcal{YD}$ denote the braided category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over A . Let R be a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^A\mathcal{YD}$. Denote by $\rho : R \rightarrow A \otimes R$, $\rho(a) = a_{-1} \otimes a_0$, and $\cdot : A \otimes R \rightarrow R$, the coaction and action of A on R , respectively. We shall use the notation $\Delta(a) = a^1 \otimes a^2$ and S_R for the comultiplication and the antipode of R , respectively.

Since R is in particular a module algebra over A , we can form the smash product (see [12, Definition 4.1.3]). This is an algebra with underlying vector space $R \otimes A$, multiplication is given by

$$(a \otimes g)(b \otimes h) = a(g_1 \cdot b) \otimes g_2 h, \text{ for all } g, h \in A, a, b \in R,$$

and unit $1 = 1_R \otimes 1_A$.

Since R is also a comodule coalgebra over A , we can dually form the smash coproduct. This is a coalgebra with underlying vector space $R \otimes A$, comultiplication is given by

$$\Delta(a \otimes g) = a^1 \otimes (a^2)_{-1} g_1 \otimes (a^2)_0 \otimes g_2, \text{ for all } h \in A, a \in R,$$

and counit $\varepsilon_R \otimes \varepsilon_A$.

As observed by D. E. Radford (see [19, Theorem 1]), the Yetter-Drinfeld condition assures that $R \otimes A$ becomes a Hopf algebra with these structures. This Hopf algebra is called the Radford's biproduct of R and A . We denote this Hopf algebra by $R \# A$ and write $a \# g = a \otimes g$ for all $g \in A, a \in R$. Its antipode is given by

$$S(a \# g) = (1 \# S(a_{-1} g))(S_R(a_0) \# 1), \text{ for all } g \in A, a \in R.$$

A biproduct $R \# A$ as described above is characterized by the following property (see [19, Theorem 3]): suppose that H is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra endowed with Hopf algebra maps $\iota : A \rightarrow H$ and $\pi : H \rightarrow A$ such that $\pi \iota : A \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism. Then the subalgebra $R = H^{co\pi}$ has a natural structure of Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra over A such that the multiplication map $R \# A \rightarrow H$ induces an isomorphism of Hopf algebras.

The following theorem is a direct consequence of [15, Lemma 4.1.9]. We give the proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.6. *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension $p^2 q^2$, where p, q are distinct prime numbers. If $\gcd(|G(H)|, |G(H^*)|) = p^2$, then $H \cong R \# kG$ is a biproduct, where kG is the group algebra of group G of order p^2 , R is a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^{kG}\mathcal{YD}$ of dimension q^2 .*

Proof. By assumption and Sylow Theorem, $G(H^*)$ has a subgroup K of order p^2 . Considering the Hopf algebra map $q : H \rightarrow (kK)^*$ obtained by transposing the inclusion $kK \subseteq H^*$, we have that $\dim H^{coq} = q^2$. Again by assumption and Sylow Theorem, $G(H)$ also has a subgroup G of order p^2 . If there exists an element $1 \neq g \in G$ such that g appears in H^{coq} , then $k\langle g \rangle \subseteq H^{coq}$ since H^{coq} is a subalgebra of H . But this contradicts Lemma 2.1 since $\dim k\langle g \rangle$ does not divide $\dim H^{coq}$. Therefore, $H^{coq} \cap kG = k1$. This means that the restriction $q|_{kG}$ is injective, and hence $q|_{kG} : kG \rightarrow (kK)^*$ is an isomorphism. Finally, from the discussion above, we know that $H \cong R \# kG$ is a biproduct, where $R = H^{coq}$. \square

3. SEMISIMPLE HOPF ALGEBRAS OF DIMENSION p^2q^2

Let p, q be distinct prime numbers with $p^4 < q$, and H a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension p^2q^2 . By Nichols-Zoeller Theorem [18], the order of $G(H^*)$ divides $\dim H$. Moreover, $|G(H^*)| \neq 1$ by Lemma 2.2. Again by Lemma 2.2, the dimension of a simple H -module can only be $1, p, p^2$ or q . Let a, b, c be the number of non-isomorphic simple H -modules of dimension p, p^2 and q , respectively. It follows that we have an equation $p^2q^2 = |G(H^*)| + ap^2 + bp^4 + cq^2$. In particular, if $|G(H^*)| = p^2q^2$ then H is a dual group algebra.

The proof of the following lemma is direct.

Lemma 3.1. *The irreducible characters of degree 1, p and p^2 span a standard subalgebra of $R(H)$ corresponding to a quotient Hopf algebra \overline{H} of H of dimension $|G(H^*)| + ap^2 + bp^4$. In particular, $|G(H^*)|$ divides $\dim \overline{H}$ and $|G(H^*)| + ap^2 + bp^4$ divides $\dim H$.*

Lemma 3.2. *If $|G(H^*)| = p$ or pq , then H is upper semisolvable.*

Proof. First, $c \neq 0$, since otherwise we get the contradiction $p^2 \mid p$.

Consider the quotient Hopf algebra \overline{H} from Lemma 3.1. Then $p \mid \dim \overline{H}$ and since $c \neq 0$, then $\dim \overline{H} < p^2q^2$. Therefore $\dim \overline{H} = p, pq, p^2q, pq^2$ or p^2 . Moreover, $\dim \overline{H} \neq p^2$, since otherwise $(\overline{H})^* \subseteq kG(H^*)$ by [10], but $p^2 = \dim \overline{H}$ does not divide $|G(H^*)| = p$ or pq .

The possibilities $\dim \overline{H} = p, pq$ or p^2q lead, respectively to the contradictions $p^2q^2 = p + cq^2$, $p^2q^2 = pq + cq^2$ and $p^2q^2 = p^2q + cq^2$. Hence these are also discarded, and therefore $\dim \overline{H} = pq^2$. This implies that H is upper semisolvable, by Lemma 2.3. \square

Lemma 3.3. $|G(H^*)| \neq q$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $|G(H^*)| = q$. By Lemma 3.1, $\dim \overline{H} = q + ap^2 + bp^4$. On the other hand, the product of irreducible characters of \overline{H} of degree > 1 cannot contain nontrivial characters of degree 1, by Lemma 2.4(1). If $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$, this would imply $p^2 = 1 + mp$ or $p^4 = 1 + mp$ for some positive integer m , which is impossible. Therefore $a = b = 0$. So we have $p^2q^2 = q + cq^2$, which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.4. *If $|G(H^*)| = q^2$, then H is upper semisolvable.*

Proof. A similar argument as in Lemma 3.3 shows that $a = b = 0$. Hence, H is of type $(1, q^2; q, p^2 - 1)$ as an algebra. Equivalently, H^* is of type $(1, q^2; q, p^2 - 1)$ as a coalgebra. The group $G(H^*)$, being abelian, acts by left multiplication on the set X_q . The set X_q is a union of orbits which have length 1, q or q^2 . Since $|X_q| = p^2 - 1$ is less than q , every orbit has length 1. That is, $G[\chi_q] = G(H^*)$ for all $\chi_q \in X_q$. Let $g \in G(H^*)$ and $\chi_q \in X_q$. Then $g\chi_q = \chi_q$ and $g^{-1}\chi_q^* = \chi_q^*$. This means that $g\chi_q = \chi_qg = \chi_q$.

Let $C_i (i = 1, \dots, p^2 - 1)$ be the non-isomorphic q^2 -dimensional simple subcoalgebra of H^* . Then $gC_i = C_i = C_i g$ for all $g \in G(H^*)$. By [15, Proposition 3.2.6], $G(H^*)$ is normal in $k[C_1, \dots, C_{p^2-1}]$, where $k[C_1, \dots, C_{p^2-1}]$ denotes the subalgebra generated by C_1, \dots, C_{p^2-1} . It is a Hopf subalgebra of H^* containing $G(H^*)$. Counting dimension, we know $k[C_1, \dots, C_{p^2-1}] = H^*$. Since $kG(H^*)$ is a group algebra and the quotient $H^*/H^*(kG(H^*))^+$ is trivial (see [10]), H^* is lower semisolvable. Hence, H is upper semisolvable. \square

From the discussion above, the following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3.5. *If $|G(H^*)| = pq^2$, then H is upper semisolvable.*

Lemma 3.6. *If $|G(H^*)| = p^2$ or p^2q then H is either semisolvable or isomorphic to a Radford's biproduct $R \# kG$, where kG is the group algebra of group G of order p^2 , R is a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^{kG}_G \mathcal{YD}$ of dimension q^2 .*

Proof. This is a corollary of Lemma 2.3. \square

We are now in a position to give the main theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension p^2q^2 , where p, q are prime numbers with $p^4 < q$. Then H is either semisolvable or isomorphic to a Radford's biproduct $R \# kG$, where kG is the group algebra of group G of order p^2 , R is a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^{kG}_G \mathcal{YD}$ of dimension q^2 .*

In analogy with the situations for finite groups, it is enough for many applications to know that a Hopf algebra is semisolvable. Under certain restrictions on p and q , we can obtain a more precise result.

Corollary 3.8. *If p does not divide $q - 1$ or $q + 1$, then H is semisolvable.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case that the order of $G(H)$ and $G(H^*)$ are p^2 or p^2q , and H is a biproduct. Let $q : H \rightarrow (kK)^*$ be the projection in Theorem 2.6. Then we have that $\dim H^{coq} = q^2$. We then consider the decomposition of H^{coq} as a coideal of H . Let c be the number of non-isomorphic irreducible left coideals of H of dimension q . If $|G(H)| = p^2$ then $c = 0$, otherwise Lemma 2.4 (2) shows that $cq^2 \geq p^2q^2$, a contradiction. If $|G(H)| = p^2q$ then $c = 0$ by a similar argument. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, there are 2 possible decompositions of H^{coq} as a coideal of H :

$$H^{coq} = k1 \oplus \sum_i V_i \oplus \sum_j W_j, \text{ or } H^{coq} = kG \oplus \sum_i V_i \oplus \sum_j W_j,$$

where V_i is an irreducible left coideal of H of dimension p , W_i is an irreducible left coideal of H of dimension p^2 and G is a subgroup of $G(H)$ of order q . Counting dimensions on both sides, we have $q^2 = 1 + mp$ or $q^2 = q + np$ for some positive integers m, n . This contradicts the assumption that p does not divide $q - 1$ and $q + 1$. \square

As an immediate consequence of the discussions in this section, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *If H is simple as a Hopf algebra then H is isomorphic to a Radford's biproduct $R \# kG$, where kG is the group algebra of group G of order p^2 , R is a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^{kG}_G \mathcal{YD}$ of dimension q^2 .*

The following example was pointed out to the author by the anonymous referee.

Example. In fact, examples of nontrivial semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^2q^2 which are Radford's biproducts in such a way, and are simple as Hopf algebras do exist. A construction of such examples as twisting deformations of certain groups appears in [4, Remark 4.6].

4. SEMISIMPLE HOPF ALGEBRAS OF DIMENSION $4q^2$

Let q be a prime number, and H a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension $4q^2$. In this section, we discuss the structure of H . By Theorem 3.7, it suffices to consider the cases that $q = 3, 5, 7, 11$ and 13 . By Nichols-Zoeller Theorem and Lemma 2.2, the order of $G(H^*)$ is $2, 4, q, q^2, 2q, 4q, 2q^2$ or $4q^2$. Moreover, if $|G(H^*)| = 4q^2$ then H is a dual group algebra. The dimension of a simple H -module can only be $1, 2, 4$ or q . Let a, b, c be the number of non-isomorphic simple H -module of dimension $2, 4$ and q , respectively. Then we have $4q^2 = |G(H^*)| + 4a + 16b + cq^2$. In particular, if $c \neq 0$ then $c = 1, 2$ or 3 . By [15, Chapter 8], if $\dim H = 36$ then H is upper semisolvable or lower semisolvable. Therefore, we may assume that $q = 5, 7, 11$ or 13 in the followings.

Lemma 4.1. *If $|G(H^*)| = 2$ then H is upper semisolvable.*

Proof. We first note that $c \neq 0$, otherwise $4q^2 = 2 + 4a + 16b$ will give rise to a contradiction $2(q^2 - a - 4b) = 1$. That is, $c = 1, 2$ or 3 .

We then consider the case that $a \neq 0$. Let $\chi_2 \in X_2$. Since H does not have irreducible characters of degree 3, we have $G[\chi_2] = G(H^*)$. Then a similar argument as in Lemma 3.2 shows that $G(H^*) \cup X_2$ spans a standard subalgebra of $R(H)$. Hence, H has a quotient Hopf algebra of dimension $2 + 4a$, and $2 + 4a$ divides $4q^2$. Since q is odd, $2 + 4a$ can not be q and q^2 . If $2 + 4a = 4q^2$ then $c = 0$, a contradiction. If $2 + 4a = 4q$ then $1 = 2(q - a)$, a contradiction. If $2 + 4a = 2q$, then a direct check, for $q = 5, 7, 11, 13$ and $c = 1, 2, 3$, shows that b is not a integer, a contradiction. Hence, $2 + 4a = 2q^2$ and H has a quotient Hopf algebra of dimension $2q^2$. Therefore, H is upper semisolvable by Lemma 2.3.

Finally, we consider the case that $a = 0$. In this case, $4q^2 = 2 + 16b + cq^2$. A direct check, for $q = 5, 7, 11, 13$ and $c = 1, 2, 3$, shows that above equation holds true only when $b = 3, q = 5, c = 2$ or $b = 6, q = 7, c = 2$ or $b = 15, q = 11, c = 2$ or $b = 21, q = 13, c = 2$. That is, H is of type $(1, 2; 4, 3; 5, 2)$, $(1, 2; 4, 6; 7, 2)$, $(1, 2; 4, 15; 11, 2)$ or $(1, 2; 4, 21; 13, 2)$ as an algebra. We shall prove that all these can not happen.

Suppose on the contrary that H is of type $(1, 2; 4, 3; 5, 2)$ as an algebra. Let $\chi_4 \in X_4$ and $G(H^*) = \{\varepsilon, g\}$. Then there must exist $\chi_5 \in X_5$ such that $1 \leq m(\chi_5, \chi_4 \chi_4^*) \leq 3$.

If $m(\chi_5, \chi_4 \chi_4^*) = 3$ then $m(\chi_4, \chi_5 \chi_4) = 3$. This implies that $\chi_5 \chi_4 = 3\chi_4 + \chi_4' + \chi_4''$, where $\chi_4 \neq \chi_4', \chi_4 \neq \chi_4'' \in X_4$. In case $\chi_4' \neq \chi_4''$, we have $m(\chi_4', \chi_5 \chi_4) = m(\chi_5, \chi_4' \chi_4^*) = 1$. This implies that $\chi_4' \chi_4^* = \chi_5 + \varphi$, where $m(\chi_5, \varphi) = 0$ and $\deg \varphi = 11$. Since $\chi_4' \neq \chi_4$, ε can not appear in φ . From the introduction in Section 2.2, we know that the multiplicity of g in φ is less than 2. Hence, $\varphi = 2\chi_5' + g$, where $\chi_5 \neq \chi_5' \in X_5$. From $m(g, \chi_4' \chi_4^*) = m(\chi_4', g \chi_4) = 1$, we have $g \chi_4 = \chi_4'$. Hence, $\chi_4' \chi_4^* = g \chi_4 \chi_4^* = g + \chi_5 + 2\chi_5'$. This means that $\chi_4 \chi_4^* = \varepsilon + g \chi_5 + 2g \chi_5' = \varepsilon + \chi_5' + 2\chi_5$. In the second equality, we use the fact that $g \chi_5 = \chi_5'$ which is deduced from the fact that $G[\chi_5] = G[\chi_5'] = \{\varepsilon\}$. This contradicts the assumption that $m(\chi_5, \chi_4 \chi_4^*) = 3$. In case $\chi_4' = \chi_4''$, we have $m(\chi_4', \chi_5 \chi_4) = m(\chi_5, \chi_4' \chi_4^*) = 2$. This implies that $\chi_4 \chi_4^* = 2\chi_5 + \chi_5' + g$. A similar argument shows that it is also a contradiction.

If $m(\chi_5, \chi_4 \chi_4^*) = 2$ then $m(\chi_4, \chi_5 \chi_4) = 2$. This implies that $\chi_5 \chi_4 = 2\chi_4 + 2\chi_4' + \chi_4''$, where $\chi_4 \neq \chi_4', \chi_4 \neq \chi_4'' \in X_4$. In case $\chi_4' \neq \chi_4''$, we have $m(\chi_4', \chi_5 \chi_4) = m(\chi_5, \chi_4' \chi_4^*) = 3$. This implies that $\chi_4' \chi_4^* = 3\chi_5 + g$. Then $1 = m(g, \chi_4' \chi_4^*) = m(\chi_4', g \chi_4)$ implies that $g \chi_4 = \chi_4'$. Hence, $\chi_4' \chi_4^* = g \chi_4 \chi_4^* = g + 3\chi_5$. This

means that $\chi_4\chi_4^* = \varepsilon + 3g\chi_5 = \varepsilon + 3\chi_5'$. This contradicts the assumption that $m(\chi_5, \chi_4\chi_4^*) = 2$. In case $\chi_4' \neq \chi_4''$, we have $m(\chi_4', \chi_5\chi_4) = m(\chi_5, \chi_4'\chi_4^*) = 2$. This implies that $\chi_4'\chi_4^* = 2\chi_5 + \chi_5' + g$, where $\chi_5 \neq \chi_5' \in X_5$. A similar argument shows that $\chi_4\chi_4^* = \varepsilon + 2\chi_5' + \chi_5$. This also contradicts the assumption.

If $m(\chi_5, \chi_4\chi_4^*) = 1$ then $\chi_4\chi_4^* = \varepsilon + \chi_5 + 2\chi_5'$, where $\chi_5 \neq \chi_5' \in X_5$. In this case, $m(\chi_5', \chi_4\chi_4^*) = 2$. From the discussion above, we know it is impossible.

Suppose on the contrary that H is of type $(1, 2; 4, 6; 7, 2)$ as an algebra. Let $\chi_4 \in X_4$ and $G(H^*) = \{\varepsilon, g\}$. Then there must exist $\chi_7 \in X_7$ such that $1 \leq m(\chi_7, \chi_4\chi_4^*) \leq 2$.

If $m(\chi_7, \chi_4\chi_4^*) = 1$ then $m(\chi_4, \chi_7\chi_4) = 1$. This implies that $\chi_7\chi_4 = \chi_4 + \varphi$, where $m(\chi_4, \varphi) = 0$ and $\deg \varphi = 24$. A direct check shows that there is no irreducible character of degree 7 in φ and there exists $\chi_4 \neq \chi_4' \in X_4$ such that $m(\chi_4', \chi_7\chi_4) = 2$. Then $m(\chi_7, \chi_4'\chi_4^*) = 2$ implies that $\chi_4'\chi_4^* = 2\chi_7 + \psi$, where $\deg \psi = 2$. Since $\chi_4 \neq \chi_4'$, ε does not appear in the decomposition of ψ . Hence, ψ is irreducible or a sum of 2 copies of g . It is impossible.

If $m(\chi_7, \chi_4\chi_4^*) = 2$ then $m(\chi_4, \chi_7\chi_4) = 2$. This implies that $\chi_7\chi_4 = 2\chi_4 + \varphi$, where $m(\chi_4, \varphi) = 0$ and $\deg \varphi = 20$. From the discussion above, we know there does not exist $\chi_4 \neq \chi_4' \in X_4$ such that $m(\chi_4', \chi_7\chi_4) = 2$. Then we have $\chi_7\chi_4 = 2\chi_4 + \sum_{i=1}^5 \varphi_i$, where $\{\chi_4, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5\} = X_4$. From $m(\varphi_i, \chi_7\chi_4) = m(\chi_7, \varphi_i\chi_4^*) = 1$, we have $\varphi_i\chi_4^* = \chi_7 + \psi_i$, where $\deg \psi_i = 9$ and $m(\chi_7, \psi_i) = 0$. It is clear that $m(g, \psi_i) = 1$ for all i . Then $m(g, \varphi_i\chi_4^*) = m(\varphi_i, g\chi_4)$ implies that $\varphi_i = g\chi_4$. This means that $\varphi_1 = \dots = \varphi_5$, a contradiction.

Suppose on the contrary that H is of type $(1, 2; 4, 15; 11, 2)$ as an algebra. Let $\chi_4 \in X_4$ and $G(H^*) = \{\varepsilon, g\}$. Then $\chi_4\chi_4^* = \varepsilon + \chi_{11} + \varphi_1$, where $\chi_{11} \in X_{11}$ and $\varphi_1 \in X_4$. From $m(\chi_{11}, \chi_4\chi_4^*) = m(\chi_4, \chi_{11}\chi_4) = 1$, we have $\chi_{11}\chi_4 = \chi_4 + \varphi$, where $m(\chi_4, \varphi) = 0$ and $\deg \varphi = 40$. A direct check shows that there exists $\chi_4 \neq \chi_4' \in X_4$ such that $m(\chi_4', \chi_{11}\chi_4) = m(\chi_{11}, \chi_4'\chi_4^*) = 1$. This means that $\chi_4'\chi_4^* = \chi_{11} + \varphi_2 + g$, where $\varphi_2 \in X_4$. Then $m(g, \chi_4'\chi_4^*) = m(\chi_4', g\chi_4)$ implies that $\chi_4' = g\chi_4$. Hence, $\chi_4'\chi_4^* = g\chi_4\chi_4^* = \chi_{11} + \varphi_2 + g$ implies that $\chi_4\chi_4^* = g\chi_{11} + g\varphi_2 + \varepsilon$. On the other hand, $\chi_4\chi_4^* = \varepsilon + \chi_{11} + \varphi_1$. Hence, $\chi_{11} = g\chi_{11}$. This means that g appears in the decomposition of $\chi_{11}\chi_{11}^*$, and hence $G[\chi_{11}] = G(H^*)$. This contradicts the fact that the order of $G[\chi_{11}]$ divides 121 (See Lemma 2.4).

Suppose on the contrary that H is of type $(1, 2; 4, 21; 13, 2)$ as an algebra. Let $\chi_4 \in X_4$. Then the decomposition of $\chi_4\chi_4^*$ gives a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.2. $|G(H^*)| \neq q$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $|G(H^*)| = q$. If $a \neq 0$ we then take $\chi_2 \in X_2$. Since $G[\chi_2]$ is a subgroup of $G(H^*)$ and the order of $G[\chi_2]$ divides 4 by Lemma 2.4(1), $G[\chi_2] = \{\varepsilon\}$ is trivial. This is a contradiction since H does not have irreducible characters of degree 3. Hence, $a = 0$ and $4q^2 = q + 16b + cq^2$. A direct check, for $q = 5, 7, 11, 13$ and $c = 0, 1, 2, 3$, shows that above equation holds true only when $b = 22, q = 11, c = 1$. That is, H is of type $(1, 11; 4, 22; 11, 1)$ as an algebra. We shall prove that it is impossible.

Suppose on the contrary that H is of type $(1, 11; 4, 22; 11, 1)$ as an algebra. Let χ be the unique irreducible character of degree 11 and g the generator of $G(H^*)$. Then $g\chi = \chi$ and hence $G[\chi] = G(H^*)$. If

$$\chi\chi^* = \chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{11} g^i + 10\chi$$

then $G(H^*) \cup X_{11}$ spans a standard subalgebra of $R(H)$. Hence, H has a quotient Hopf algebra of dimension 132. By Nichols-Zoeller Theorem, it is impossible.

Therefore, there exists $\chi_4 \in X_4$ such that $m(\chi_4, \chi^2) = n \geq 1$. From $m(\chi, \chi_4 \chi) = m(\chi, \chi \chi_4^*) = n$, we have $\chi \chi_4^* \stackrel{(1)}{=} n\chi + \varphi$, where $\deg \varphi = 44 - 11n$ and $m(\chi, \varphi) = 0$. On the other hand, $\chi_4^* \chi_4 = \varepsilon + \chi'_4 + \chi$, where $\chi'_4 \in X_4$. From $m(\chi, \chi_4^* \chi_4) = m(\chi_4^*, \chi \chi_4^*) = 1$, we have $\chi \chi_4^* \stackrel{(2)}{=} \chi_4^* + \psi$, where $\deg \psi = 40$ and $m(\chi_4^*, \psi) = 0$. A direct check shows that χ does not appear in the decomposition of ψ . Hence, (1) and (2) give rise to a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4.3. *If $|G(H^*)| = q^2$ then H is upper semisolvable.*

Proof. A similar argument as in Lemma 4.2 shows that $a = 0$, and hence $3q^2 = 16b + cq^2$. A direct check, for $c = 0, 1, 2, 3$, shows that H is of type $(1, q^2; q, 3)$ as an algebra. The result then follows from a similar argument as in Lemma 3.4. \square

Lemma 4.4. *If $|G(H^*)| = 2q$ then H is upper semisolvable.*

Proof. If $a \neq 0$ then q^2 does not divide a , otherwise $4a \geq 4q^2$, a contradiction. Then, by Lemma 3.1, we have that $2q + 4a$ divides $4q^2$. A direct check shows that $2q + 4a$ can not be q^2 , $4q^2$ and $4q$. Hence, $2q + 4a = 2q^2$ and H has a quotient Hopf algebra of dimension $2q^2$. So, H is upper semisolvable by Lemma 2.3.

If $a = 0$ then $4q^2 = 2q + 16b + cq^2$. A direct check, for $q = 5, 7, 11, 13$ and $c = 0, 1, 2, 3$, shows that this can not happen. \square

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 4.5. *If $|G(H^*)| = 2q^2$ then H is upper semisolvable.*

Lemma 4.6. *If $|G(H^*)| = 4$ or $4q$ then H is either semisolvable or isomorphic to a Radford's biproduct $R \# kG$, where kG is the group algebra of group G of order 4, R is a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^{kG}_G \mathcal{YD}$ of dimension q^2 .*

Proof. This is a corollary of Lemma 2.3. \square

Now we reach the main result in this section.

Theorem 4.7. *Let q be a prime number, and H a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension $4q^2$. Then H is either semisolvable or isomorphic to a Radford's biproduct $R \# kG$, where kG is the group algebra of group G of order 4, R is a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld Hopf algebra in ${}^{kG}_G \mathcal{YD}$ of dimension q^2 .*

Acknowledgments: The author would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions, in particular for providing a new proof of Lemma 3.2, which shortens the original version. This work was partially supported by the FNS of CHINA (10771183) and Docurate foundation of Ministry of Education of CHINA (200811170001).

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Andruskiewitsch, About finite dimensional Hopf algebras. Contemp. Math. 294, 1–57 (2002).
- [2] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, Semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension pq are trivial. J. Algebra 210(2), 664–669 (1998).
- [3] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories. Adv. Math. 226 (1), 176–505 (2011).

- [4] C. Galindo, S. Natale, Simple Hopf algebras and deformations of finite groups, *Math. Res. Lett.* 14 (6), 943–954 (2007).
- [5] S. Gelaki and S. Westreich, On semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension pq . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128(1), 39–47 (2000).
- [6] I. Kaplansky, *Bialgebras*. Chicago, University of Chicago Press 1975.
- [7] T. Kobayashi and A. Masuoka, A result extended from groups to Hopf algebras. *Tsukuba J. Math.* 21(1), 55–58 (1997).
- [8] R. G. Larson and D. E. Radford, Finite dimensional cosemisimple Hopf algebras in characteristic 0 are semisimple. *J. Algebra* 117, 267–289(1988).
- [9] A. Masuoka, Semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension $2p$. *Comm. Algebra* 23, 1931–1940 (1995).
- [10] A. Masuoka, The p^n theorem for semisimple Hopf algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124, 735–737 (1996).
- [11] A. Masuoka, Coideal subalgebras in finite Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* 163, 819–831 (1994).
- [12] S. Montgomery and S. Whitherspoon, Irreducible representations of crossed products. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 129, 315–326 (1998).
- [13] S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*. CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 82. Providence. Amer. Math. Soc. 1993.
- [14] S. Natale, On semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension pq^r . *Algebras Represent. Theory* 7 (2), 173–188 (2004).
- [15] S. Natale, Semisolvability of semisimple Hopf algebras of low dimension. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 186 (2007).
- [16] S. Natale, On semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension pq^2 . *J. Algebra* 221(2), 242–278 (1999).
- [17] W. D. Nichols and M. B. Richmond, The Grothendieck group of a Hopf algebra. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 106, 297–306(1996).
- [18] W. D. Nichols and M. B. Zoelle, A Hopf algebra freeness theorem. *Amer. J. Math.* 111(2), 381–385 (1989).
- [19] D. Radford, The structure of Hopf algebras with a projection. *J. Algebra* 92, 322–347 (1985).
- [20] H.-J. Schneider, Normal basis and transitivity of crossed products for Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* 152, 289–312 (1992).
- [21] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*. New York, Benjamin 1969.
- [22] Y. Zhu, Hopf algebras of prime dimension. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 1, 53–59 (1994).

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, NANJING AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210031, JIANGSU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, YANGZHOU 225002, JIANGSU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: dongjc@njau.edu.cn